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"The piazza is to be built like that of Dr. Faulkner's on North St"

We do not know where Tichenor lived when he first arrived in the city in 1842 because of the gap in publication between the 1841 and 1844 city directories. But in the 1844 directory (whose data were gathered early in the year), he is listed at the corner of Sherman and Franklin Square, the frequent site of political rallies (Fig. 1).\(^1\) Within little over a year, however, the next city directory, 1845–1846 (whose data were gathered in late May), places him on North Clinton, near the city line. However, at that time his house was actually in the Town of Irondequoit, on the northeast corner of present day North Clinton and Clifford Avenues, in an area not annexed by the city until 1874.\(^2\)

From family documents we know specifically when this change in address occurred. On January 25, 1845, Tichenor signed a contract with Elisha J. Keeney and James F. Royce to have a house built on a lot that he owned on North Clinton, with a completion date of no later than June 1.\(^3\) The house was built in the Greek Revival style, the dominant style of domestic architecture in this country during the period 1830–1850. With a gable front and side wing, the house illustrates one of the principal sub-types that was particularly common in western New York and Ohio (Fig. 2).\(^4\) At this time there were few architectural style guides for builders: Asher Benjamin’s *The Practical House Carpenter* (1830) and Minard Lafever’s *The Modern Builders’ Guide* (1833) were the principal sources for the Greek Revival. At a time when a quickly sketched plan (if any) was the norm, it is not surprising that in the contract reference is made to certain features of other area homes, also built by Keeney and Royce: the above-mentioned piazza of Faulkner’s house, just built the previous year (Dr. Lewis K. Faulkner, located at 28 North, was a dentist, who by the 1849–1850 city directory was also listing himself as a Daguerrian Artist) and a cornice “to be as good as & similar to that on E.D.[sic] Collin’s house” (Elihu B. Collins, located at 25 Andrews, was a flour merchant).\(^5\)

But there is something curious about the contract. It
provides that "there is nothing to be done in the inside of the front half of the upright part below, and nothing to be done in the inside of the upright part above, except to lay the floor." Fortunately the contract came down to us complete with an attached diagram (Fig. 3), which clearly identifies the "unfinished part." Why was part of the house going to be left unfinished? The reader may recall an earlier reference (in Part I) to a fire that destroyed Tichenor's office on January 21, 1845—just four days before Tichenor signed the contract to have his house built. Plans for the building of this house must have been developing over a period of time; surely, to some degree, he had to reduce the cost of the house, his business having just suffered a devastating fire for which the insurance coverage fell short.

And who took up residence in this new house? At the time, William and his wife, Bethia, had three sons, Enos, Henry, and George, and a daughter, Mary, who was born on April 7, 1845, not long before the presumed actual move

Fig. 1. Plan of the City of Rochester, 1851, showing the location of Franklin Square. Photograph by Paul Porell, RMSC.
into the house. The family was yet to expand still more, with the addition of two more daughters, Bethia Augusta, known as "Gussie" or "Gusta" (born 1847) and Emily (born 1849). The Tichenor’s third son, Horace, who was born in 1838 in Waterloo, had survived but a few months. One daguerreotype of the children (the absence of Enos and Henry will be explained later) has survived (front cover illustration). The only interior photograph of the home post-dates William Tichenor’s death; it shows the parlor, originally left unfinished (Fig. 4).7

Tichenor’s house was located approximately a mile and a half from the downtown location of his dental office at 16 Buffalo Street (Fig. 5). This certainly fits easily into the concept of the “walking city”: “Until the 1850s the settled areas of even the largest cities, such as New York, Boston, and Philadelphia, rarely extended beyond two miles from the city center—the average distance a person can walk in half an hour.”8 And certainly not an inconvenient distance for his patients who found themselves having to go out to his house for treatment once he relocated his office from the Arcade to his home in January 1847. Thereafter followed a steady schedule of appointments over the next couple of years, in sharp contrast to the activity of the previous year, during which time he was largely otherwise engaged.

“A Wonderful Invention of a Wonderful Age!”

Dentistry was not the only field to which William Tichenor applied his intellectual curiosity and manual skills. It is clear from one of the documents in the collections of the Rochester Museum & Science Center (hereafter referred to as RMSC) that he had “invented improvements in the method of applying the electric fluid to the Magnetic Telegraph for which he applied for letters patent from the Government of the United States in December, 1845 and did also apply on the twentieth day of January 1846 for another similar improvement in the same operation for Letters Patent.”9 Another document
shows that other individuals had faith that Tichenor had created something of merit (Fig. 6).\textsuperscript{10}

Although to date no record of the granting of such a patent has been found, the existence of his invention is verified in local newspapers. The New York, Albany and Buffalo Electric Magnetic Telegraph Company first completed a section of line between Albany and Utica on January 31, 1846. The Utica to Buffalo line was completed on July 3 and the entire line was open with the completion of the New York to Albany section on September 9th.\textsuperscript{11} In the meantime, the telegraph line had entered Rochester on June 1. And who was not only in charge of the telegraph office, but using a device of his own invention? Dr. William Tichenor. Under the headline "The Magnetic Telegraph—Rochester and Albany United!," the Rochester Daily Advertiser gave a lengthy account of this landmark event, saying in part:

The Magnetic Telegraph, the annihilator of distance, it is expected, will go into operation between Rochester
and Albany to-day, thereby establishing an INSTANTANEOUS COMMUNICATION between the two cities. Whatever of interest, therefore, which may occur in the latter city, will be given to our readers here, "smoking hot" from the Lightning Express, at the same moment it transpires! A wonderful invention of a wonderful age!

The station in this city will be under the charge of Dr. Tichenor, a gentleman well qualified for the discharge of duties requiring such great coolness, accuracy and precision. The room occupied will be in the basement of Congress Hall [Fig. 7].

...By an invention, however, of Dr. Tichenor, we understand that much time and trouble is saved, and the operation greatly simplified, and made less liable to commit blunders. The machine resembles the keyboard of a piano, each key representing a letter or figure, so that by a single touch of the key the entire letter is made. For instance, instead of striking six times to record the figure 4, only one stroke is necessary to make the whole six dots. The invention is a valuable one, and should be generally adopted.¹²

Another Rochester paper gave an even more effusive and glowing account of this event, reporting that "it was perfect magic; and it was no marvel that men's mouths opened wide, as they looked upon the mysterious workings of this wonderful invention. Mr. Tichenor gives evidence of promptness as a writer. He reads and communicates readily and with accuracy. The Company was fortunate in securing his services, as the public will find him gentlemanly, assiduous and attentive."¹³

Telegraphy was still very much in its infancy. Clearly Tichenor was involved in this field at least by the latter part of 1845 if he was submitting a patent application by December of that year, and his involvement continued for most of the succeeding year (see Table I in Part I—his day book shows only a handful of dental appointments for the second half of the year and even fewer for 1846, except for the last month). And this was only a year and a half after Samuel F. B. Morse made the first successful demonstration in this country of an intercity telegraph on
May 24, 1844 with the transmission between Baltimore and Washington, D.C. of the famous words "What Hath God Wrought?" This presaged a revolution in communications; a quantitative leap had been made from messages "transmitted," for the most part, by foot, horse, boat, and rail to now instantaneous communication. People truly did marvel at this scientific advance.

Despite Tichenor's public entry into the field of telegraphy, within six months he was out of it and apparently for good. By December 1846, he had moved into a new dental office in the Reynolds Arcade, and despite his very brief stay at that particular location, he had a steady schedule of appointments over the course of the next two years. Why the about face in the direction of his career? Had he failed to acquire a patent for his telegraphic device? Had he completely sold off the rights to it? Had its level of technology simply been superseded, overtaken by other equipment at a time when there was a tremendous amount of inventive activity taking place? These questions remain unanswered.
Tichenor’s foray into telegraphy is not at all surprising when one considers the skills he could bring to such a new endeavor: intelligence, dexterity, and a knowledge of working with metals. He was not the first or the last local dentist to get involved in other technology-related activities. Dr. Faulkner, mentioned previously, took up daguerreotypy. Josephus Requa, also a dentist and who had served all or part of an apprenticeship to the renowned local gunsmith William Billinghamurst, gained local notoriety during the Civil War with what came to be known as the Billinghamurst-Requa Battery Gun (composed of 30 simultaneously-fired rifle barrels). Whatever the causes for Tichenor’s entry into and exit from this field, it was left to others, principally Hiram Sibley, to leave an indelible connection between telegraphy and the city of Rochester. Ten years after Tichenor presided over the telegraph when it was first connected to this city, Sibley completed formation of the Western Union Telegraph Company, described as this nation’s first industrial monopoly.

"The death of this respected citizen will be startling to many"

Apart from his activity in dentistry and telegraphy, what do we know about William Tichenor, the person? Only a
few clues suggest the more personal side of this individual. A very small hymnal, with his name gold-stamped on the leather cover, certainly reveals his religious affiliation: *A Collection of Hymns for the use of the Methodist Episcopal Church*.... It was published in 1831, but there is also a later edition, 1845, in RMSC collections that bears his name inscribed inside the front cover. There is also a copy in the collections of a pamphlet entitled *Constitution, By-Laws, and Rules of Order of Teoronto Lodge, No. 69, I.O. of O.F of the State of New York ...* (Rochester: Daily Advertiser Office, 1849). This suggests that Tichnor was a member of the International Order of Odd Fellows, and may possibly explain, at least in part, his choice of James Royce to build his house—Royce’s obituary, cited previously, identifies

Fig. 5. The location of Tichnor’s house is marked by a black square tucked into the northeast corner of North Clinton and Clifford on this 1852 map of Monroe County. Photograph by Paul Porell, RMSC.
him as a member of this fraternal organization. Further, a statement in Tichenor's obituary reveals something of his civic activities in that "he had taken an active part in a spirited caucus for delegates to the District and County Conventions."³¹

But perhaps most intriguing is a letter that was apparently drafted on behalf of Tichenor by one Don Mann:

Mr Seymour
Sir

Having sometime since (when you were engaged as engineer upon the Ithaca & Owego Rail Road) had the honor of your acquaintance I take the liberty of applying to you for the situation of conductor upon the road of which you are now superintendent. Of my suitability for the place for which I apply it behooves me to let others speak but should you desire it I will endeavor to produce responsible & satisfactory certificates of my capacity for station referred to. Being disengaged from business & disliking the confinement of an office any favor which you may be pleased to render me, in procuring the place for which I apply, will be gratefully received & duly appreciated

by your
most obt svt
Wm Tichenor

I know this wont suit you & I would do better if I knew how there is one comfort you can write it right yourself wherever you try. Don Mann ³²

Unfortunately this draft is undated, but the comment that he dislikes the confinement of an office is a somewhat surprising revelation from a man who not only worked as a dentist, but for a time worked under the restrictions of a telegraph office. Of course it could have been written before his involvement with telegraphy, but it seems more likely to come from a time following a steady two and a half year period of dental practice from December 1846 to the summer of 1849. The break in appointments at that point may have signalled a reassessment of the future direction of his career. Tichenor had family in Tompkins
County, New York and certainly could have been aware of the pending completion of the Cayuga and Susquehanna (successor to the Ithaca and Owego) railroad in December of 1849. James Seymour was a civil engineer who was responsible for the completion of this line and who was present in Ithaca at the celebration commemorating that event on December 18.17 Tichenor may well have attempted to renew his contact with Seymour in the summer of 1849 in anticipation of future employment on this road.

But whatever the future plans of William Tichenor, they were tragically cut short in 1849. His oldest child, Enos, aged 15, died in May.18 Then his third daughter, Emily, was born in August, but within a month, almost to the day, William Tichenor died of cholera at age 38. His September 26 obituary said that “The intelligence of the death of this respected citizen, will be startling to many who saw him in health, and actively engaged about the city, on the day previous to his decease. Doct. T. was taken with cholera on Monday evening, and died the next morning at 8 o'clock.”19 (The notice goes on to erroneously surmise cause and effect between his active involvement in politics, mentioned above, and being afflicted with the
disease.) Death had come quickly to William Tichenor, as was common with cholera.

Caused by bacteria that enter the digestive system via uncooked fruits or vegetables, sewage-contaminated water, or simply unwashed hands, the symptoms could be spectacular: "The onset of cholera is marked by diarrhea, acute spasmodic vomiting, and painful cramps. Consequent dehydration, often accompanied by cyanosis [a bluish discoloration of the skin and mucous membranes], gives to the sufferer a characteristic and disquieting appearance: his face blue and pinched, his extremities cold and darkened, the skin of his hands and feet drawn and puckered.... Death may intervene within a day, sometimes within a few hours of the appearance of the first symptoms. And these first symptoms appear with

Fig. 7. Congress Hall on the west side of the Genesee River, looking south towards the center of the city. (The Auburn and Rochester Railroad station is located just to the lower left of the Hall.) Part of a view of Rochester, ca. 1870. Lithograph proof by William Henry Robinson, Rochester, N.Y. Department of Rare Books and Special Collections, Rush Rhees Library, University of Rochester. Photograph by Paul Porell, RMSC.
little or no warning." Cholera is described as the "classic epidemic disease of the nineteenth century.... When cholera first appeared in the United States in 1832, yellow fever and smallpox, the great epidemic diseases of the previous two centuries, were no longer truly national problems." After its disappearance in 1834, it returned once again during the winter of 1848–1849. Rochester's Board of Health recorded the first case of cholera on July 7, 1849 and announced the disappearance of the disease on September 8; to the death toll of 127 people must be added Tichenor, who was struck down some two weeks after the disease had supposedly disappeared from the city. It is somewhat ironic that Tichenor's relatives were informed of his death by the very means of technology—the telegraph—that he had played such an important role in locally (Fig. 8).

Tragedy was to strike the Tichenor family yet again. Only five years after the death of the father, the next oldest son, Henry, aged 18, was killed in a railroad accident: "H.J. Tichenor, employed as a brakeman on the Central Railroad, was instantly killed last night, in the town of Chili, while in the act of coupling some freight cars. It is supposed that his foot slipped when the cars came together, throwing him under the wheels....He had been employed on the road but a few weeks." Further details are revealed in subsequent testimony before the coroner's jury: "it appeared that young TICHNOR [sic] was getting down from the deck of one freight car to what is called the way-car, in order to warm himself, and missing the handles placed on the side to assist in the descent and ascent, he slipped and fell down between the two cars, was run over and badly mangled. He lived four hours, in great suffering." A fortuitous discovery yielded an image of Henry Tichenor. The donor of RMSC materials relating to William Tichenor and his photographer son-in-law, James P. Walter, also gave Walter materials to George Eastman House. This material includes two post-mortem (i.e., following death) daguerreotypes of a young man (slightly differing views). The detail that clinched the identification can be observed on the right cheek: a vertical suture extending from the
area of the forehead to the cheek (and one, more difficult to discern, on the left cheek). As reported by the coroner’s jury, Henry had been “badly mangled,” and surely this is

Fig. 8. William Tichenor’s relatives in Ithaca were obviously informed of his death on the day on which it occurred and are inquiring about the time of the funeral even as they prepare to leave for Rochester the very next day. The message was sent by either John or Joseph, brothers of William. Photograph by Paul Porell, RMSC.
the consequence of that injury and an effort to make him presentable for a post-mortem photograph (Fig. 9).

The introduction of photography in 1839 was soon to create competition for painters, who began to lose customers for the traditional portrait. But there continued to be a market for both posthumous mourning paintings and post-mortem photographs. Whereas the former created the illusion of life in death (the eyes of the person might well be open, and only various symbols, such as a willow tree, would identify the true nature of the portrait), the latter created the illusion that the individual was merely sleeping: “The pose seems to embody the sentiment toward death...which dominated this period. ‘In the ideology of the later 19th century, death did not really occur. People did not die. They went to sleep. They rested from their labors.’”25

The style of this photograph is characteristic of post-mortem photographs of the period from 1840 to 1880: the boy is shown in close-up from the waist (or higher); he is holding something in his hands, in this case a book (possibly opened to a specific page or passage); whatever he is stretched out on is covered with a temporary covering. When the surroundings for such photographs are clear (in this instance, they are not), they are usually that of a home.26 The funeral industry as we know it today did not develop until the later 1880s:

Embalmimg was not a common practice in America until after 1880, and ready-made coffins were not generally available. Therefore, the corpse was placed on a board, with ice to retard decomposition. Viewing occurred, if at all, while the family was waiting for the coffin to be completed. Photographers advertised that they could come to deceased’s home on an hour’s notice because the entire burial process sometimes took only one day, with little opportunity of finding the corpse in the open coffin. Here technology and ideology were in harmony. If the sentiment was to regard death as the last sleep, then one certainly did not want a post-mortem portrait taken in a coffin. Moreover, coffins were usually made of rough-hewn lumber, without a lining; not exactly the
most pleasant setting for the last, and in some cases, only portrait of the deceased.\textsuperscript{27}

It is most likely that Henry was photographed at home and that the funeral was held there, just as it was when his brother, Enos, died five years earlier, and the way it would be when their mother died over thirty years later.\textsuperscript{28}

Fig. 9. Post-mortem daguerreotype of Henry Tichenor, 1854. Courtesy George Eastman House.
James P. Walter, William Tichenor’s son-in-law, is important to this story not only because of his photographs that help to document the Tichenor family and their house, but because the specifics of his family’s history help to explain how all of the material on which this study is based—objects, documents, photographs—managed to survive intact as a collection.

As mentioned previously, he never met his father-in-law, who died 25 years before James and Bethia Augusta got married and moved in with Tichenor’s widow, Bethia, at some time between the summers of 1874 and 1875. In the collections of George Eastman House is one of Walter’s

Fig. 10. James P. Walter’s photographic gallery at 742 North Clinton. His wife, Bethia, is in the window. Left to right, at the entrance, Henry, Gip (the family dog), unidentified girl, Florence. Windows on the side and in the roof provided natural light and made possible shorter exposure times. Stone Negative Collection, RMSC.
account books that reveals, in addition to family expenses, the series of local photographers for whom he worked before striking out on his own. Starting with F.W. Bacon in March 1872 and concluding with L.R. Neuman in June 1882, he worked for five different local photographers. Two years after leaving Neuman, he built his own photographic gallery next door to the Tichenor house, squeezed in between their house and the corner of North Clinton and Clifford (Figs. 10 and 11). After the widow Bethia’s death in 1887, James and his wife proceeded to build a house just around the corner from the Tichenor house (Fig. 12). Completed two years later, it faced south on Clifford Ave.

The Walters had two children, Henry (1874–1955) and Florence (1879–1960). Neither Henry nor Florence ever married and they both lived and died in the home on Clifford Ave. Following Florence’s death, five years after the death of her brother, William Tichenor’s grandson, Ralph Tichenor (who also lived in Rochester), settled her estate. The materials comprising this collection simply moved from William Tichenor’s house around the corner to the Walters’ new house; then to Ralph Tichenor’s house; and finally, to RMSC.

Fig. 11. Position of Walter’s photographic gallery in relationship to the Tichenor home and the corner of North Clinton and Clifford. Stone Negative Collection, RMSC.
A comment by former Strong Museum curator Susan Williams, who investigated “why we eat what we eat, and how, when, and where we do it—today,” is just as applicable to this body of material that is the legacy of the Tichenor and Walter families. She observed (about food, to be sure) that “even when all these sources are considered together, they cannot bring back the people of the previous century to answer our questions about their lives….They can only create for us a patchwork vision of that world, which, incomplete as it may be, still helps us to recognize and understand better,” not only the past, but also the present.29

Eugene Umberger, Jr., is the Curator of History and Chairman of the Department of History at the Rochester Museum and Science Center.
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Fig. 12. James P. Walter house on Clifford Ave. Stone Negative Collection, RMSC.