

## VI. Analysis & Evaluation

Thomas and the Stakeholders committee described an analysis process to include:

- Options Matrix
- Pros / Cons of Options
- Conceptual Cost / Budget Ranges
- Evaluation Criteria

The following pages include outlines reflecting the above process.

### *Note regarding COSTS.*

We have provided a spreadsheet / matrix indicating our conceptual cost / budget ranges. Please note that these ranges are very preliminary in nature, and their intent is primarily to show “order of magnitude” comparisons between the various options.

Once the Library Board determines a firm direction on which option to pursue, the next steps would involve engaging an architect / engineering firm to help define and estimate costs more closely. This process is normal, and should occur during the pre-referendum phase, and be finalized prior to the public vote.

## IRONDEQUOIT LIBRARY SYSTEM - OPTIONS MATRIX

<b>FACILITY OPTION</b>	<b>COMPONENTS</b>	<b>Evans Branch</b>	<b>McGraw Branch</b>
A - Do nothing	Status Quo	No Impact	No Impact
B – Renovate existing (no addition)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update Infrastructure</li> <li>• Update envelope</li> <li>• Modernize interior</li> <li>• Modernize furnace</li> <li>• Improve space efficiency</li> <li>• Improve exterior aesthetics</li> <li>• Specialize branches</li> </ul>		
C - Renovate existing with additions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update Infrastructure</li> <li>• Update envelope</li> <li>• Modernize interior</li> <li>• Modernize furnace</li> <li>• Improve space efficiency</li> <li>• Improve exterior aesthetics</li> <li>• Specialize branches</li> <li>• Parking</li> <li>• Expansion – vertical / horizontal</li> <li>• Expansion – below grade</li> </ul>		
D – Two new branches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two (2) equal sizes</li> <li>• Two (2) unequal sizes</li> <li>• Main / specialized branch                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reference</li> <li>○ Fiction / non-fiction</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Two (2) with state of the art                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Technology</li> <li>○ Infrastructure</li> <li>○ Aesthetics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Satisfy contingent that desires two (2) branch system</li> <li>• Visual aesthetics                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Convey warmth, values and spirit of community</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Create “community” pride</li> <li>• Solve parking / access issues</li> <li>• Opportunity for future expansion</li> <li>• New services                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Coffee</li> <li>○ Meeting rooms</li> <li>○ Used bookstore</li> </ul> </li> <li>• More intimate</li> <li>• Smaller site (more available)</li> <li>• Phasing options</li> <li>• More opportunities for donors to “play” (smaller \$ / library)</li> <li>• Tailor and cater to each contingent (different magazines, etc.)</li> </ul>	Branch closing	Branch closing

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide boost to two (2) areas (commercial and community)</li> </ul>		
E – One new single library	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Opportunity to locate centrally</li> <li>• Visual aesthetics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Convey warmth, values and spirit of community</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Create “community” pride</li> <li>• Solve parking / access issues</li> <li>• Opportunity for future expansion</li> <li>• New services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Coffee</li> <li>○ Meeting rooms</li> <li>○ Used bookstore</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Diverse collection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Expanded</li> <li>○ No duplication</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Unify community</li> <li>• State of the Art facility</li> <li>• Satisfy one library contingent</li> </ul>	Branch closing	Branch closing