

FREDERICK DUGLASS, EDITOR.

TERMS.

Two dollars per annum, in advance. No subscription will be received for a less term than six months.

The object of the NORTH STAR will be to abolish SLAVERY in all its forms and aspects; to advocate UNIVERSAL EMANCIPATION; to exalt the standard of public morality; to promote the moral and intellectual improvement of the COLORED PEOPLE; and hasten the day of FREEDOM to the THREE MILLIONS of our enslaved fellow countrymen.

PUBLISHER'S NOTICES.

All communications relating to the business of the paper, names of subscribers, remittances, &c., should be addressed to FREDERICK DUGLASS, Editor, Rochester, N. Y.

LIST OF AGENTS.

MASSACHUSETTS.—R. W. Wallcut, 21, Cornhill, Boston; Nathan Johnson, New Bedford; Horatio W. Foster, Lowell; James N. Buffum, Lynn; Bourne Spooner, Plymouth; Cyrus Bradbury, Northampton.

SELECTIONS.

From the Massachusetts Spy.

POLITICS AND THE PULPIT.

Rev. Henry Ward Beecher has been recently engaged in a controversy with the Journal of Commerce, concerning the propriety of introducing the topic of slavery into the pulpit. That paper has lately had its sensibilities wounded by an allusion made by Dr. Lansing to Daniel Webster's position, and by Mr. Beecher's article in the Independent. The Journal has therefore conceived a great horror for "Free Soil Sermons," although it seems to have no objection to a clergyman's writing a political pamphlet in defence of slavery as aided and comforted by Webster. In the last number of the Independent, Mr. Beecher publishes a stirring article justifying the introduction of the topic of slavery, as a moral question, into the pulpit, and also urging it as a duty. He says in a strain of indignation: "Three million men, against natural law, against every fundamental principle of our state and national government, are, by law, thrown over the pale of the space, and degraded to the level of the brute."



God's, and must be delivered faithfully. The Sabbath is eminently the day, and the pulpit the place for this solemn act. It must be a Gospel of doctrine, of abstract truth; but by no means a Gospel to disturb him from practical sins! And we almost believe Lowell to have stolen his doggerel from the Journal: "I'm willing a man should go toll-like strong; Again wrong in the abstract, for that kind of wrong is always unpopular, and never gets pried, because it's a crime, no one ever committed."

If the excellent editor of the Journal of Commerce were doomed to be a member of our congregation, we don't think we should be surprised to find him, unless he would consent to take his hat and slip out into the entry while we were discussing his real sins, and come back in time to hear our opinion of total depravity, and the doctrine of Original Sin.

The Pulpit is the Dispensary of society—the minister, a physician—preaching a prescription of medicinal truth for heart evils. There is not an evil which afflicts life, nor a temptation proceeding from any course of life, which the pulpit should not study. The sources of right conduct, the hindrances, the seductions of business, the influences of public life, the maxims of society, its customs, its domestic, commercial and public institutions; in short, whatever directly or indirectly moulds the human character, is to be studied by the minister, and its benefit or danger made known from the pulpit.

It is remarkable, that after the lapse of nearly nine centuries, we find a barbarous stipulation between the two barbarous nations reproducing the compact of union of the most civilized and humane republic of the nineteenth century. We are familiar with the provision referred to: "No person held to labor or service in one State, under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in consequence of any law or regulation therein, be discharged from such service or labor, but shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such service or labor may be due."

The language of this clause of the Constitution, like the phraseology of the articles above quoted, is that of a stipulation. No power is conferred upon Congress by the language of the clause expressly, and we have never been able to see how it could be fairly implied. It bears the aspect of a stipulation between the States, and it would seem as if it were left for the States severally to provide measures for carrying it into effect. Such, if we recollect aright, was the decision of Chief Justice Shaw of Massachusetts, some years ago, and such was the opinion of many eminent jurists, until the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Prigg. That decision set aside, and denied the right of all State legislation on the subject, asserted the exclusive power of Congress to provide means for executing the provision, but asserted, too, the right of the master to seize his slave wherever he might be found, he had escaped from the State whence he had been taken.

This vast abomination, which sees and smokes in our midst, which is enervating and demoralizing the white by the oppression of the black; in which adultery, fornication, and a companionship so awful exist, that in comparison to it, a Turkish harem is a cradle of virgin purity; which every hour does violence to nature, to the sentiment of justice, and to the embodiment of that sentiment into national law; a system which makes a home impossible, and the word family as it is used a misnomer as it would be to a stable or sheep-fold; which subsists only by keeping the subject ignorant; which is obliged to rank and treat the qualities which our community most esteems—intelligence, ambition, self-reliance, thirst for knowledge, self-respect—as most punishable crimes in the slave; a system whose practice requires what its laws recognize, that manhood must be subverted—that the slave must be intelligent only for work, and religious only to the extent of obedience; a system which, taking away all inducements to labor natural to man, is obliged to enforce it by suffering, or the fear of suffering; which, denying to the faculties of the soul a natural expression, forces the miserable wretch to cunning and craft, to lying and subterfuge; whose whole natural tendency is to produce labor upon compulsion, and laziness by choice, lying and thieving under a sense of justice, and truth and honesty with a feeling of their injustice; and which, at length, as its worst and most damnable result, so subverts that instinct of liberty which belongs to man the world over, that the slave agrees to his condition, grows fat, and laughs and sings, preferring slavery with indulgence to eat and drink enough, to liberty, if he must pay the price of that liberty by sustained exertion;—this huge, infernal system for the destruction of man, soul and body, must not be mentioned in the pulpit, lest the Sabbath be desecrated and the peace of the congregation be disturbed!

We should be glad, according to the patent method of the Journal, to teach men how to act upon Christian principles, in their political position, without saying a word about the subject. We should heartily rejoice if we could inspire Christian honesty in Commerce, without ever discussing men's commercial duties. The Journal evidently knows how this may be done. Will it not bless the world with the secret? It has in mind doubtless some Gospel that will free men from evil and not disturb them; that will snatch away their sins while they are asleep; some chloroform Gospel; some Gospel that will convert the African slave-trader without saying a word about the slave-trade; that will send Bruin and Hill to the altar and the communion table, without saying a word about the slave-pens and their weekly purchase and sale of Christian girls and church members; that will convert the thief, and by some charm lead him to abhor stealing; that will make men intelligent in all their relations to their fellows, without saying anything of their duties; that will turn the heathen from idolatry, from fornication and incest, from infanticide and cannibalism, and from the whole retinue of abominations which we name heathenism, without one word said, except about the literal "Christ crucified," which the Journal says must be preached, whether men will hear or forbear. It must be preached in its purity and power, whoever may be offended. It is not man's message, but

the free States, from their right to act on the subject denied by the highest tribunal of the country, discontinued all such action. Some of them, in view of the decision of the court, that Congress could impose no duty on State officers, in relation to the matter, passed laws forbidding their magistrates and ministerial officers, to issue or serve process in the case of escaping slaves—laws clearly in harmony with said decision, and not in conflict with the rights of slave claimants. Some States, too, in consideration of the fact that, as the Court had asserted the right of slave claimants to seize their slaves wherever found, without legal process, the liberties of portions of their own free population might be put in peril by sinister or mistaken claim, passed laws requiring to persons claimed as slaves the right to have the question legally determined whether they were not free. And no right-minded man will pretend that such laws were unconstitutional or violative of the rights of slave claimants.

That the barbarous Russ should be permitted in the dark ages to hunt and beget by violence, in the territory of a semi-barbarous Empire, any one of its inhabitants, whom he might choose to claim as his fugitive slave, does not surprise us. But the masses have emerged from degradation since the tenth century. Personal rights are no longer deemed sacred, and no guarantees against their violation are held to be too stringent. The State that would deny to any person within its limits the writ of *habeas corpus*, in order to test whether he was entitled to his freedom or not, would be wanting in the first duty of a sovereignty—that of the just protection of those within its jurisdiction.

No free State has passed any laws to discharge from service or labor persons fugitive from other States where such service or labor may be due, but many of the free States have severe enactments for the prevention and punishment of kidnapping. The man, then, who seizes in one of these States a person whom he claims as his fugitive slave does it at his peril. If the person seized be a fugitive from service or labor within the meaning of the Constitution, he is secure against punishment; but should it turn out that he has seized a free person, the law visits him with its penalty.

Men resist oppression, or seek to escape from it, because they are men, endowed with a sense of personal, inalienable rights, an inextinguishable love of liberty, and the capacity to enjoy it. That the victim of slavery should flee from its horrors, is no new thing; and that the fugitive should find sympathy among those not interested in perpetuating his enslavement, can excite no surprise among those who understand the instincts and laws of human nature. By the mosaic code, this sympathy found a sanction for its manifestations in the law which protected the fugitive servant from being seized by his master, and secured to him the protection and hospitalities of the community in which he had taken refuge. The modern Law of Nations, regarding slavery as a municipal, a merely local institution, does not bind one State to surrender fugitive slaves from other States. At times, conventions or treaties of extradition have been made between neighboring powers, in disregard of the dictates of humanity, in obedience to reasons of State policy. A friend has handed us the following translation of an article in a treaty of peace, entered into in the year 902, between Leon Alexander V. Constantine, Greek Emperor at Constantinople, and Oleg, Regent of Igor, second king of Russia, on the occasion of the first invasion of the Greek Empire by the Russians, under Oleg.

"If a Russian slave take flight, or even if he is carried away by any one under pretence of having been bought, his master shall have the right and power to pursue him, and hunt for and capture him, wherever he shall be found; and any person who shall oppose the master in the execution of his right, shall be deemed guilty of violating this treaty, and be punished accordingly."

It may be said that this makes it perilous for the owners of fugitives to assert their just rights. Be it so: a State is derelict of duty which does not protect its inhabitants against danger to their liberties. Even a slaveholder must admit that it is more important to protect the liberty of the free, than to perpetuate the slavery of the bondman. The laws of the free States deprive him of no rights, but he is intended to guard every portion of their own people from wrong, and to put him on his guard in the exercise of what he or his agent may deem his rights. The truth is, the decision of the Supreme

Court, so far as it affirmed his right to seize and bear off without legal process an alleged fugitive, is justly chargeable with the collisions that have since taken place in the recapture of fugitives from service, and also with the laws to remedy injury from illegal seizures, of which the slave States complain. The reclamation of slaves in slavery, is at best so offensive to the opinions and feelings of their people, that slaveholders themselves must admit that it ought to be conducted with as few irritating concomitants as possible. When legal process is issued, when it is served by the officers of justice, when the provisions of the claimant are made under the shelter of the authority of the United States, there is no danger of collision or violent interference. Americans are distinguished for their respect for legal forms, and they will submit, in the hope that no unconstitutional aggression or claim will be tolerated. But it is a very different thing when a stranger, with a band of armed men, appears in a free State community, and proceeds, without authority or law, to seize by violence another stranger, under pretence that he is a slave. Knowing neither, and nothing of the relations of the two parties, the people see brute force put forth by one to deprive the other of his liberty. Would they not interpose to see justice done? State law is resorted to, for the unknown claimant may be a kidnapper; the unknown claimed, a free man.

No community, however low in the scale of civilization, will endure the open display of violence against an apparently unoffending individual. Some of the Judges of the Supreme Court did foresee and predict precisely the consequences that have come to pass. We repeat then, that for the collisions that have taken place in the reclamation of fugitives from justice, the Supreme Court of the United States, and not the legislation of the free States, is to be held responsible.

Southern men, irritated by the consequences of the decision of this Court, without stopping to ascertain their true cause, have suffered themselves to become inflamed against the North, indulge daily in their denunciations and the bad faith of Northern men, and insist upon additional legislation by Congress, to remedy their supposed wrongs, although some of them admit that to the States, rather than to the Federal Government, the work of providing means for executing the fugitive clause belongs. Of the justice of their denunciations and the reasonableness of their demands, we may have something to say in our next.—National Era.

From the N. Y. Tribune.

EFFECTS OF ABOLITION IN JAMAICA.

We take the following from an able and interesting letter from Jamaica in the Evening Post. The writer it may be seen does not think the main cause of the decline of the Island to be the abolition of slavery. His account of the change going on among the black population is most satisfactory. It strikes us that the hundred thousand cultivators of their own land are much better product of God's earth than as many slaves living at the mercy of an owner. The letter begins by stating the causes of the present state of the Island as follows:—

1.—The degradation of labor, in consequence of the yet comparatively recent existence of Negro Slavery upon the Island, which excludes the white population from almost every department of productive industry, and begets a public opinion calculated to discourage, rather than to promote industry among the colored population.

2.—Nine-tenths of the improved land is owned by absentees—which implies unskillful tillage; an extra expense on an average of three thousand dollars a year for attorneys, agents and overseers; great improvidence in the management of the property, and few or no labor-saving improvements.

3.—The estates under culture were all mortgaged for more than they were worth, when the Emancipation bill passed. This measure increased the embarrassments of the residents, made them the easy prey of their non-resident creditors, and left them no means or capital to conduct the cultivation of the land to any advantage.

4.—The magnitude of the estates, and the principles upon which they have been cultivated, prevent the free circulation of real property, tend to accumulate the lands in the hands of a few, to exterminate the middle classes, or men of little or no capital, and to beget a constant and unnatural antagonism between capital and labor.

These causes, in my judgement, would have conducted Jamaica to inevitable ruin, had the tariff laws never been altered nor the slaves been set at liberty.

But I think I hear you ask, how long is this state of things to continue, or is it to be perpetual? To this I will answer in brief: that it will continue until the land gets into the hands of people who are not ashamed to till it. So long as it is held by English landlords, I think it will continue to depreciate in value. I say this with all possible respect for them, many of whom I know, and greatly esteem. It will continue to depreciate in their hands, I say, because they will not cultivate it personally, nor can they

command the capital, fidelity and skill necessary to cultivate it with profit by agents. It will continue to depreciate until the landholders will consent to sell small farms who are willing to work the land with their own hands.

That process is now going on constantly. The colored people are rapidly becoming proprietors. It is the highest aspiration of most of them to get a piece of land, say from three to five acres, which entitles them to vote; and with two or three months' labor, during the cropping of the sugar, enables them to live in comparative ease and independence. On five acres they can raise almost everything they require.

Upon these tracts they raise not only what they require for their own consumption, but a surplus which they take to market, usually in small quantities upon donkeys, or upon their heads. Most every colored proprietor, however, has a donkey, which costs from seven to ten pounds, upon which he packs all his property, and under the custody of a woman often, sometimes of a child, he sends it to town, to be converted into money, with which he purchases such articles of necessity or luxury as his land does not produce and he can afford. One of the most interesting spectacles to be witnessed about Kingston, is presented on the high-road through which their market people, with their donkeys, in the cool of the morning, pour into the city from the back country. They form an almost uninterrupted procession of four or five miles in length, and what strikes the eye of a Yankee at once is their perfect freedom from care. Neither poverty nor desire of gain had written a line upon their faces, and they could not show less concern at the result of their trip if they were going to a festival. You will readily perceive how strong and universal must be the desire of the poor laborers to exchange their servile drudgery, at less than a shilling sterling a day, for this life of comparative ease and independence.

Of course it is very hard to get anything ahead upon the wages now paid in Jamaica, and it requires no little self-denial and energy to lay up enough to purchase one of these properties with; but when they do get one they never part with it except for a larger or a better one. The planters call them lazy for indulging in this feeling of independence; but I never could see anything in the aversion of the negroes here to labor which was not sanctioned by the example of their masters.

I think the readers of the Evening Post will be surprised when I tell them that the number of these small proprietors is now considerably over 100,000, and is rapidly increasing. Their properties average, I should think, about three acres.—They have a direct interest in cultivating their land economically and intelligently. The practice of planning their own labor, encouraged by the privilege of reaping its rewards themselves, exerts the most important educational influences, which will soon be much more apparent than they are now. When one was scarcely a colored proprietor of land upon the Island, and that now there are a hundred thousand, it is unnecessary to say that this class of the population appreciate the privileges of free labor and a homestead far more correctly than might be expected, mind that seven-tenths of these proprietors were begotten in slavery, and spent many years of their lives as bondsmen.

It is very obvious to me that the best estates of the Island are to undergo this process of division before its real productive capacities can be known. Their prices must fall to a level with the means of those who cultivate them—the laboring population—being all colored, imports that the land is to pass from the whites to the colored people before the Island can prosper. This I think inevitable, if it remains a British possession.

It is objected here, that if the estates are so minutely subdivided, the cultivation of the great staples sugar coffee, and the manufacture of rum, must cease, because the works upon sugar and coffee estates are very expensive and require large capital, and the estates must be very large to compensate for the outlay in that direction. For example, a good range of sugar works could not be erected for less than \$50,000. The proprietor of twenty-five or fifty acres could not afford to keep such costly buildings for the manufacture of his limited stock, much less could the smaller proprietor of three, five or ten acres.

The answer to this objection seems perfectly obvious, and yet no one here seems to understand it. They have only to observe one of the most familiar principles of economical science, and the whole difficulty is obviated. Let them do what is done universally in the Northern States of our Republic—separate the functions of the agriculturist from those of the manufacturer, and then both departments of industry will be better conducted, upon at least one-tenth the capital now required. There is no conceivable reason why central sugar-mills, for example, should not be established, where the planters could take their cane to be ground for a toll, or to sell, for a return of a given quantity of sugar, or molasses or rum, or money, or whatever might be agreed upon.

Another important question arose in the course of the long, exciting, and decidedly disorderly debate on this Oregon Bill. The detestable serpent of Nationalism shows its head frequently. It was resisted by Mr. Sackett of your delegation, and others, in a spirit of praiseworthy manliness. On the question of destruction of Color in grants of land the vote was mainly sectional. The exceptions were, for the most part, among the Western Loco-Focos. Some of these voted openly for the South—others remaining in their seats, when the process of going through the tellers presented a test of their predilections. The vote on the insertion of the word "white" stood 78 Yeas to 51 Nays from a thin House.

A general and informal understanding was had before the Committee of the Whole, as to the resumption of the Oregon Land bill at an early day, out of which a scene of great confusion grew, when the Committee rose. It got mixed up with the California question, which excited not a little jealousy. The Chair having decided that it required unanimous consent to entertain a motion to fix a day, Mr. Giddings objected, and consequently the House adjourned without an understanding on the subject, after all. This closed its doings and undoings for the week. The "Spring cleaning" will commence to-morrow.

Sienna.

A wife who loses her patience, may not expect to keep her husband's heart.

Correspondence of The Tribune.

RIGHTS OF COLORED MEN IN OREGON, &c.

WASHINGTON, Wed. May 29.

You will have learned with pleasure, ere this reaches you, that the Senate has given its sanction to a Branch of the Mint, in your City and also one for California. This is more favorable than was generally anticipated. The whole day was consumed upon this important subject. But it was a day better spent than any other day of the session—perhaps one or two excepted. For the details I must refer you to your regular report of proceedings.

The bill from the Senate providing for the appointment of a Surveyor-General of the Public Lands in Oregon, and donations of lands to actual settlers, elicited an unusually stirring debate in the House to-day. The particular point of dissent was upon the amendment excluding free colored settlers from the lands proposed to be donated. Mr. Giddings led off with a bold and truthful speech against the exclusive policy. He alluded, in terms of deserved approbation, to the moral worth as well as intellectual strength of Frederick Douglass and Samuel R. Ward. Having drawn a very striking portrait, he then desired to know on what principle of justice gentlemen proposed to exclude such men from a participation in these land grants. He referred to the free colored population as embracing many who were descended from the fathers of the nation, including even Washington himself. He put a "poser" to the Democrats, who advocated the exclusive policy, by asking what consistency, not to say gratitude, disciples of Jefferson could consent to keep from Oregon those in whose veins coursed the blood of Thomas Jefferson? There was some sneering and jeering on the Southern side, as the Loco side might be called with injustice by a few members, all things considered while Mr. Giddings was speaking, but nothing like the degree of that sort of feeling once so common. There was, indeed, a remarkable and gratifying spirit of toleration.

But this was too tempting an opportunity, for two or three of the representatives of the Chivalry to lose. Conrad of Louisiana fired a sneering shot at Mr. Giddings's "taste" &c. He complained that he had represented that the Caucasians were inferior to the colored men referred to. Mr. Giddings replied that he had admitted that the whites were quite as good as the blacks! Mr. Conrad opposed the exclusion of the colored settlers, on the ground that the South wanted to have the colored population diffused. What do you suppose he assigned as the reason of this wish? Why, that it was everywhere admitted to be a curse. Of course, then, he is quite willing to diffuse a curse! Bayly took the same view as Conrad, and contended that the course of the Territorial Legislature of Oregon, in excluding free blacks from citizenship, had acted in violation of the spirit of the law of their organization as a Territory. In applying the principle of the Anti-Slavery ordinance, Congress did not anticipate that the South would be thus cut off from an outlet for her redundant free black population in that direction, which he alleged to have always been the chief obstacle to Emancipation. To hear him talk, one would really have supposed that the South had assented most graciously to the application of the Fugitive principle to the Oregon bill!

But the richest feature of this debate was a speech, in particular reply to Mr. Giddings, by Col. McMullen of Virginia. He was very personal—so much so that he was called to order by the Chairman, (Mr. Strong.) He indulged in a number of those polite lingual missiles, whose points have been worn off by his chivalrous predecessors, through frequent use. He complained of a grievous want of gratitude on the part of Mr. Giddings, in thus insulting his constituents, after the favor he did him, some time ago, in moving the floor for him, when he appealed to his friends to make that motion. The redoubtable Virginian then launched forth into a stream of grandiloquence, in the midst of which the hammer fell, leaving as his last words, the declaration that he "had no doubt the negroes referred to by the gentleman from Ohio were descendants of the first families of Virginia—i. e. the 'F. V.'s!" The gallant Colonel sat down, with an evident feeling of self-satisfaction. It is true there was considerable laughter; but he appropriated this to himself, of course, as induced by his wit! I should almost regret to disturb his feelings.

It is understood that it is McMullen's intention to reply specially to Mr. Haymond of Wheeling—that he has been waiting for his speech. So you see that there is at least one "treat" in store.

Another important question arose in the course of the long, exciting, and decidedly disorderly debate on this Oregon Bill. The detestable serpent of Nationalism shows its head frequently. It was resisted by Mr. Sackett of your delegation, and others, in a spirit of praiseworthy manliness. On the question of destruction of Color in grants of land the vote was mainly sectional. The exceptions were, for the most part, among the Western Loco-Focos. Some of these voted openly for the South—others remaining in their seats, when the process of going through the tellers presented a test of their predilections. The vote on the insertion of the word "white" stood 78 Yeas to 51 Nays from a thin House.

A general and informal understanding was had before the Committee of the Whole, as to the resumption of the Oregon Land bill at an early day, out of which a scene of great confusion grew, when the Committee rose. It got mixed up with the California question, which excited not a little jealousy. The Chair having decided that it required unanimous consent to entertain a motion to fix a day, Mr. Giddings objected, and consequently the House adjourned without an understanding on the subject, after all. This closed its doings and undoings for the week. The "Spring cleaning" will commence to-morrow.

Sienna.

A wife who loses her patience, may not expect to keep her husband's heart.

XXXIst Congress.—In Senate.

WASHINGTON, Monday, June 5. Mr. Webster submitted to the Senate a bill amending the act of 1833, relating to the reclamation of fugitive slaves...

Mr. Douglass responded with considerable warmth. Mr. Webster thought the amendment was very little moment or effect in any way...

THE NORTH STAR.

ROCHESTER, JUNE 13, 1850.

THE NORTH STAR is sometimes sent to friends who do not take the paper, in the hope that they may see fit to subscribe for it.

WANTED.—Several TRAVELLING AGENTS, to procure subscribers for the North Star. A liberal commission will be given.

OUR English friends who have not paid their subscriptions to the North Star, for the year 1850, are requested to forward them...

Prejudice against Color.

Let no one imagine that we are about to give undue prominence to this subject. Regarding, as we do, the feeling named above...

The Nashville Convention.

The following resolutions have been adopted by the Nashville Convention. It will be seen that the Missouri Line is insisted upon...

Colonization—Free Colored People, and the New York Tribune.

We shall now briefly contribute the examination we commenced last week of the Colonization doctrines recently put forth by the New York Tribune.

African Colonization.

The following letter from Mr. Vashon should have been published in our last number, but was overlooked.

are thought to be our place; and to aspire to anything above them, is to contradict the established views of the community...

New York, and other Northern and Atlantic ports. They have struck out a path of "danger, and self-sacrifice."

Society has published to the world a libel on the name and character of my people, by saying that we were a nuisance, degraded, and vicious, &c.

social and pecuniary improvement has not kept pace with our other improvements, and at present demands our serious attention.

American Slavery.

On Wednesday evening last a meeting was held at the Bradwood-room for the purpose of hearing a lecture on American Slavery...

On the motion of J. Shourd Esq., the chair was taken by Edward Thomas Esq., who said they were met that evening to hear the statements of Mr. Brown, with respect to slavery in America...

Mr. Brown, on coming forward, was received with the greatest enthusiasm. He said he presumed they had assembled in that room upon former occasions, and for various purposes...

The chairman had just said that the American fifteen free States and fifteen slave States, or at least fifteen States where slaves could not be considered or held to be goods and chattels...

Dr. J. Cash Neild seconded the motion. He trusted that it would reach the ears of the slaveholders in the United States, that they might see that in this country difference of color made no difference as regarded notions of respectability...

Mr. Brown then sang two anti-slavery songs, and a vote of thanks to the Chairman terminated the proceedings.

such laws. If a slave struck his master, his master had to inform against him, so that other slaves might learn not to follow the example...

Having reached this point, my first care was to request Mr. Raybaud to present me to the man whose name is at this moment in the hundred mounds of renown...

We entered a hall of waiting, which I examined with curiosity. The floor is of marble, the walls of black hair-wood and brass. On a richly worked table stands a fine bronze clock, representing the arms of Haiti...

He commenced by giving to a cordial shake of the hand, then seated himself on a fauteuil, and awaited with a certain timidity for Mr. Raybaud to commence...

At the conclusion of Mr. Brown's address, Mr. Russon moved that a tea meeting should take place in that room on Tuesday next...

The Washington correspondent of the True Democrat gives the following description of a scene in the capital of the Republic...

Upon inquiry, I learned that while engaged in her usual vocations, a constable laid hands upon her, and ordered her to follow him to the slave pen...

Empireur Faustin I, of Haiti, and his Palace. PORT-AU-PRINCE, March 15.

Having reached this point, my first care was to request Mr. Raybaud to present me to the man whose name is at this moment in the hundred mounds of renown...

We entered a hall of waiting, which I examined with curiosity. The floor is of marble, the walls of black hair-wood and brass. On a richly worked table stands a fine bronze clock...

He commenced by giving to a cordial shake of the hand, then seated himself on a fauteuil, and awaited with a certain timidity for Mr. Raybaud to commence...

At the conclusion of Mr. Brown's address, Mr. Russon moved that a tea meeting should take place in that room on Tuesday next...

The Washington correspondent of the True Democrat gives the following description of a scene in the capital of the Republic...

Upon inquiry, I learned that while engaged in her usual vocations, a constable laid hands upon her, and ordered her to follow him to the slave pen...

"Love thy neighbors as thyself." "Inasmuch as ye did it unto one of the least of these my brethren ye did it unto me."

Should the reader desired to reply publicly to these objections, he will please forward his name to the person from whom he received this handbill, that it may be appended to a paper to be presented to the Captain of the steam-boat, requesting its use for that purpose...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Imprisonment of Colored Seamen. Seafarers with an African complexion, who enter any of the ports of South Carolina, are, by virtue of a law of that State, arrested and imprisoned...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Resolved, That while the General Assembly are constitutionally incompetent by legislative acts, to exceed any of their Synods, Presbytries, churches or members, or to pronounce their condemnation of persons and churches...

Advertisements. I HAVE now on hand and am constantly manufacturing, FRANK FAYENS, to cover up the gray hair, and bald places upon the forehead, head and temples...

JOSEPH C. BUSTILL, General Agent, Book-keeper, Accountant, and Cashier. I would most respectfully inform his friends and the public in general, that he has for their accommodation, opened his office for all kinds of Agency, the keeping of Books, casting accounts, writing letters upon business, &c.

THE MYSTERIOUS NOISES IN ROCHESTER AND Western New York. A History of these remarkable sounds and of the Spiritual Phenomena connected therewith, by the Spiritual Communications &c. &c. price, single, 25 cents. Orders by mail will be promptly attended to.

THE NEW-ENGLANDER: A WEEKLY PAPER, DEVOTED TO Temperance, Education, Literature, Reform and Intelligence generally. WM. A. WHITE, and CHAS. W. SLACK, EDITORS.

Water-Cure Establishment. AT NORTHAMPTON, MASS.—Dr. MUNDE respectfully informs his friends and the public, that he has become the owner of the late Dr. Ruggles' Water-Cure Establishment at Bennington, Vermont...

IMPORTANT TO THE LADIES. CLOAKS! CLOAKS! 10,000 Cloaks, Mantillas, and French Sacks, selling off at astonishing low prices!

THE OLD CURIOITY SHOP. [At No 48 Exchange Street Rochester.] I would respectfully inform the citizens of Rochester and surrounding country, that I have removed my office from No. 29 South St. to the new building at No. 48 Exchange Street...

SLOAN'S COLUMN. All the medicines advertised by W. B. Sloan are sold by Post & Willis, Winslow & Young, Rochester; S. Knapp, Frankfort; A. S. Burt, Col. Lewis; T. A. Fry, Brockport; Caleb Nye, Pittsford; W. Williams, Buffalo; and by most druggists throughout the United States.

FAMILY OINTMENT. SLOAN'S OINTMENT is now universally acknowledged as the most infallible remedy in every case where it has been faithfully applied on the human system, for promoting Inflammation, Perspiration, and relieving the inflammation from a wound, relieving pain of every kind, and its healing qualities the world does not produce its equal...

ON HIS HANDS AND KNEES. Mr. W. B. Sloan—Dear Sir: I heretofore certify that my son Albert, eleven years of age, was afflicted in his feet from the time he first began to walk, the bottoms of his feet were covered with a hard dry skin full of cracks, causing pain and much affliction...

THE BEST AND MOST EFFECTIVE HORSE MEDICINE IN THE WORLD. DR. CHARLES MUNDE'S WATER-CURE ESTABLISHMENT. WATER-CURE.—In another page of this paper will be found the advertisement of Dr. Charles Munde's Water-Cure Establishment at Bennington, Vermont...

FOR PURITY, MILDNESS, SOFTLY, CERTAINTY, AND THROUGHLY SLOAN'S OINTMENT EXCEEDS. I feel [indeed] that you for my child's life, and anything that I can do for you or my medicine, I am ready and willing to pay for.

TOO MUCH. Cannot be said in favor of Sloan's Horse Med. dines. Our neighbors, friends and acquaintances who have used them, testify their approbation in no ordinary terms, and recommend them with confidence...

RATTLE SNAKE BITES. DR. W. B. SLOAN—Dear Sir—Last month I was bit by a rattle snake, and I immediately applied your Ointment freely, and was astonished at the prompt relief it afforded me. It was only four hours after I was bit, and in twenty-four hours it had nearly all subsided, and within three days the horse was not only fit for use, but was actually put to hard labor without any injurious result.

